

# Investigation of the Efficacy of Damp-Proof Montmorillonite Nanoclay for Radon Reduction Strategies in Radon Prone Areas of Ramsar

Mortazavi S. M. J.<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Jamali F.<sup>3</sup>, Moradgholi J.<sup>4</sup>, Mehdi-zadeh A. R.<sup>5</sup>, Faghihi R.<sup>6</sup>, Mehdizadeh S.<sup>6</sup>, Haghani M.<sup>2</sup>, Saieedi M.<sup>6</sup>, Mortazavi S. A.<sup>7</sup>, Ghanbar-pour M. R.<sup>6</sup>

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Ramsar, a northern city in Iran, lies on the coast of the Caspian Sea. This city has areas with some of the highest levels of natural radiation measured to date. The radon concentration in some areas of Ramsar is up to 3700 Bq m<sup>-3</sup>. On the other hand, due to high level of humidity, damp-proof barriers should be used in construction of new buildings in radon prone areas of this city. Montmorillonite clays can be used as both moisture-proof and radon-proof agents. This study was an attempt to investigate the radon-proof properties of montmorillonite nanoclay in construction of new buildings in radon prone areas.

**Methods and Results:** Although soaked nanoclay samples could not reduce the radon level, when wet nano-montmorillonite was used, mean radon level inside the house was 1082.4 ± 5.9 Bq/m<sup>3</sup> (ranged 826.3 – 1140.5 Bq/m<sup>3</sup>) while removing nano-montmorillonite sheet increased the radon level to 1146.5 ± 6.2 Bq/m<sup>3</sup>. The high moisture in the soil of these areas, makes the nano-montmorillonite wet and converts it to a good radon-proof sheet.

**Conclusion:** It is worth mentioning that the nano-montmorillonite clay used in this study is not supposed to replace radon-barrier (membrane) sheets but when used with proper membranes can enhance the efficiency of radon mitigation systems.

## Keywords:

Radon reduction, Mitigation, Nanoclay

## Introduction

In developing countries, nanotechnology has provided new solutions for the millions of people who have no access to essential services such as safe water, reliable energy, health care and education. However, there are concerns regarding to what extent this emerging technology will benefit or cause risks for humans. Nanoclays as nanoparticles of layered mineral silicates are categorized into several types including montmorillonite, bentonite, kaolinite, hectorite, and halloysite. The most commonly used nanoclay in materials applications are plate-like montmorillonite. Although cigarette smoking is the leading cause of lung cancer, radon exposure is reported to be an important contributor to the total burden of lung cancer [1]. World Health Organization (WHO) reports that the main health hazard from high radon exposure

<sup>1</sup>Professor of Medical Physics, Department of Medical Physics and Medical Engineering, School of Medicine, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran

<sup>2</sup>The Center for Radiological Research, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran

<sup>3</sup>Master Student, Student Research Committee, School of Medicine, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran

<sup>4</sup>Ph.D student of nano-materials, Department of Materials Engineering, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran

<sup>5</sup>Assistant Professor of Medical Physics, Department of Medical Physics and Medical Engineering, School of Medicine, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran

<sup>6</sup>Radiation Research Center, Mechanical Engineering School, Shiraz University, Shiraz, Iran

<sup>7</sup>Urban Designing Department, Islamic Azad University (Beiza Branch), Fars, Iran

\*Corresponding author: SMJ Mortazavi, Ph.D Medical Physics & Medical Engineering Department, The Head, The Center for Research on Radiological Sciences, The Head, Medical Physics & Medical Engineering Department, School of Medicine, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran  
E-mail: mmortazavi@sums.ac.ir

is an increased risk of lung cancer [2]. It has been reported that the vast majority of radon induced lung cancers are caused by exposure to low and moderate radon concentrations [3]. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) believes that radon causes about 20,000 cases of lung cancer annually [4] but there is currently no data on radon inhalation caused deaths. WHO recommends that countries perform national programs to reduce the population's radon risk, as well as reducing the risk for individuals exposed to higher than population-average levels of indoor radon. In this light, special codes for construction of building should be implemented to reduce radon levels in homes. WHO recommends a national reference level of  $100 \text{ Bq/m}^3$ . WHO believes that if this level cannot be reached under the prevailing country-specific conditions, the reference level should not exceed  $300 \text{ Bq/m}^3$  [2]. In this light, most countries have adopted a radon concentration of  $200\text{--}400 \text{ Bq m}^{-3}$  for indoor air as an action or reference level.

Recent studies show that radon inhalation even at low concentrations poses a risk of developing lung cancer [5]. Furthermore, there are published reports indicating that environmental radon exposure may be a risk factor for squamous cell carcinoma [6], or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) mortality [7]. Radon-222 and radon-220 (thoron) are the most common isotopes of radon. It has been reported that the radon health risk is proportional to its concentration, down to the Environmental Protection Agency's action level of  $148 \text{ Bq m}^{-3}$ . Although naturally occurring isotopes of radon in indoor air are identified as the second leading cause of lung cancer after tobacco smoking [8], there is no large scale data on the incidence of radon-related lung cancers in Iran. Ramsar, a northern coastal city in Iran, has areas with some of the highest levels of natural radiation measured to date. The high level of radioactivity is thought to be due to the local geology. Underground water dissolves radium in uraniferous igneous rocks

and carries it to the surface through several hot springs [9]. The radon concentration in some areas of Ramsar is up to  $3700 \text{ Bq m}^{-3}$  [10]. Montmorillonite clay has significant waterproofing properties because of its high coefficient of moisture absorption. Montmorillonite which occurs mainly in bentonite, has a capacity to absorb large amounts of water. In this light, this clay can be used as an absorbent waterproof membrane on foundation walls made of brick and concrete [11]. It is widely accepted that sealed barriers (membranes) with very low radon gas permeability which are commonly placed over the entire footprint of new buildings at the time of construction, is an effective method of reducing indoor radon levels. In Ramsar, due to very high levels of humidity, damp-proof barriers are also needed. In this light, this study aimed at investigating the radon-proof properties of montmorillonite nanoclay in construction of new buildings in radon prone areas.

## Materials and Methods

### House Model

Two wooden model houses were designed in this study. Ramsar soil samples were used for simulating a typical house in radon-prone areas with radon levels over the EPA's action level ( $148 \text{ Bq/m}^3$  or  $4 \text{ pCi/l}$ ). These cube house models had a volume of 8000 cubic centimeters (dimensions  $20 \text{ cm} \times 20 \text{ cm} \times 20 \text{ cm}$ ).

### Environmental Monitoring and Soil Sampling

Before soil sampling, environmental monitoring in Talesh Mahalleh (a well-known district in HBRA of Ramsar) was performed using a RDS -110 (RADOS. Inc., Finland) multi purpose survey meter. Absorbed dose rates in air were measured at one meter above the ground level. Soil was sampled in 4 areas with different dose rates, and sent to the National Radiation Protection Department (NRPD) of

the Iranian Nuclear Regulatory Authority for gamma spectroscopy. Ra-226, Th-232 K-40 concentrations in each soil sample was measured. Soil sampling locations were recorded by a Global Positioning System (GPS).

### Radon Measurements

In each experiment 350 g Ramsar HBRAs' soil was used. Radon level measurement was performed by using a PRASSI portable radon gas survey meter that was provided by Radiation Research Center of Shiraz University.

### Nano-montmorillonite

In each experiment 35 g nano-montmorillonite was used. Nano-montmorillonite (Cloisite® 20A) was obtained from Southern Clay Products Inc (Gonzalez, TX).

### Results

The mean radon concentration in a house model in which nano-montmorillonite was used as the radon-proof barrier is summarized in Table 1. As indicated in the table, when a thin sheet of dry nano-montmorillonite was

placed over the floor of the house (above the Ramsar HBRAs' soil layer, the mean ( $\pm$ SD) radon level inside the house was  $588.2 \pm 6.5$  Bq/m<sup>3</sup> (ranged 418.2 – 632.8 Bq/m<sup>3</sup>). When there was no nano-montmorillonite sheet over the floor, mean radon level was  $588.2 \pm 7.6$  Bq/m<sup>3</sup> (ranged 384.4 – 639.4 Bq/m<sup>3</sup>). When dry nano-montmorillonite was replaced with a soaked sheet of this nanoclay, mean radon level inside the house was  $1114.0 \pm 2.8$  Bq/m<sup>3</sup> (ranged 1011.8 – 1166.0 Bq/m<sup>3</sup>). When there was no nano-montmorillonite sheet over the floor, mean radon level was  $949.3 \pm 4.6$  Bq/m<sup>3</sup> (ranged 796.8 – 996.1 Bq/m<sup>3</sup>).

On the other hand when a sheet of thoroughly wet nano-montmorillonite was placed over the floor, mean radon level inside the house was  $878.4 \pm 6.3$  Bq/m<sup>3</sup> (ranged 643.0 – 928.8 Bq/m<sup>3</sup>). When there was no nano-montmorillonite sheet over the floor, mean radon level was  $1005.5 \pm 6.8$  Bq/m<sup>3</sup> (ranged (724.1 – 1064.1) Bq/m<sup>3</sup>). And finally in an attempt for reducing the water content of the nanoclay, when thoroughly wet nano-montmorillonite was replaced with a wet sheet of this nanoclay, mean

**Table 1:** Different interventions using nano-montmorillonite in dry. Soaked, thoroughly wet and wet conditions for reducing the radon concentration in the house model.

| Sample                              | Date      | No. of Measurements | Mean $\pm$ SD Radon Concentration (Bq / m <sup>3</sup> ) |                                       | Significance (P-Value) |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|---------------------|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                                     |           |                     | HBRAs' Soil Alone (Range)                                | HBRAs' Soil + Nano-clay (Range)       |                        |
| Dry Nano-montmorillonite            | 22/5/1391 | 36                  | $588.2 \pm 7.6$<br>(384.4 – 639.4)                       | $588.2 \pm 6.5$<br>(418.2 – 632.8)    | NS                     |
| Soaked Nano-montmorillonite         | 28/6/1391 | 24                  | $949.3 \pm 4.6$<br>(796.8 – 996.1)                       | $1114.0 \pm 2.8$<br>(1011.8 – 1166.0) | Increase<br>< 0.0001   |
| Thoroughly wet Nano-montmorillonite | 24/7/1391 | 24                  | $878.4 \pm 6.3$<br>(643.0 – 928.8)                       | $1005.5 \pm 6.8$<br>(724.1 – 1064.1)  | Increase<br>< 0.0001   |
| Wet Nano-montmorillonite            | 13/9/1391 | 24                  | $1146.5 \pm 6.2$<br>(858.7 – 1207.4)                     | $1082.4 \pm 5.9$<br>(826.3 – 1140.5)  | Decrease<br>< 0.0001   |

radon level inside the house was  $1082.4 \pm 5.9$  Bq/m<sup>3</sup> (ranged 826.3 – 1140.5 Bq/m<sup>3</sup>). When there was no nano-montmorillonite sheet over the floor, mean radon level was  $1146.5 \pm 6.2$  Bq/m<sup>3</sup> (ranged 858.7 – 1207.4 Bq/m<sup>3</sup>).

## Discussion

Although soaked nanoclay samples could not reduce the radon level, when wet nano-montmorillonite was used, mean radon level inside the house was  $1082.4 \pm 5.9$  Bq/m<sup>3</sup> (ranged 826.3 – 1140.5 Bq/m<sup>3</sup>) while removing nano-montmorillonite sheet increased the radon level to  $1146.5 \pm 6.2$  Bq/m<sup>3</sup>. These results clearly show that the water content of nano-montmorillonite strongly affect its radon-proof properties. As Ramsar lies on the coast of the Caspian Sea, mean level of humidity is about 83%. In this light, damp-proof barriers should be used in construction of new buildings in radon prone areas of Ramsar. A membrane of 300  $\mu$ m polyethylene sheet can be used as a common radon-proof agent. However, montmorillonite clays can be used as effective moisture-proof agents. Based on the findings of this study, wet nano-montmorillonite not only is damp-proof but also serves as an efficient radon-proof barrier. The high moisture in the soil of these areas, makes the nano-montmorillonite wet and converts it to a good radon-proof sheet.

At the present time in IR Iran there are no national radon mitigation strategies for radon prone areas. However, National Radiation Protection Department of the Iranian Nuclear Regulatory Authority has started preliminary studies for developing a national radon mitigation system. Radon proof sheets are a major part of any design considerations for reducing indoor radon concentration. It is worth mentioning that the nano-montmorillonite clay used in this study is not supposed to replace radon-barrier (membrane) sheets but when used with proper membranes can enhance the efficiency of radon mitigation systems.

## Conclusion

In this study wet nano-montmorillonite significantly reduced the radon level. This finding can lead us to this conclusion that the high moisture in the soil of HBRA of Ramsar, naturally makes the nano-montmorillonite wet and can convert it to a good radon-proof sheet. As discussed before, the nano-montmorillonite clay used in this study is not supposed to replace radon-barrier (membrane) sheets but when used with proper membranes can enhance the efficiency of radon mitigation systems.

## References

1. WHO. WHO Handbook on Indoor Radon: The World Health Organization 2009.
2. WHO. Radon and cancer, Fact sheet N°291: World Health Organization (WHO); 2009.
3. WHO. WHO Handbook on Indoor Radon, A Public Health Perspective World Health Organization 2009.
4. EPA. EPA's Assessment of Risks from Radon in Homes: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 2003.
5. Barros-Dios JM, Ruano-Ravina A, Perez-Rios M, Castro-Bernardez M, Abal-Arca J, Tojo-Castro M. Residential radon exposure, histologic types, and lung cancer risk. A case-control study in Galicia, Spain. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2012; **21**(6): 951-8.
6. Wheeler BW, Allen J, Depledge MH, Curnow A. Radon and skin cancer in southwest England: an ecologic study. *Epidemiology* 2012; **23**(1): 44-52.
7. Turner MC, Krewski D, Chen Y, Pope CA, 3rd, Gapstur SM, Thun MJ. Radon and COPD mortality in the American Cancer Society Cohort. *Eur Respir J* 2012; **39**(5): 1113-9.
8. Chen J, Moir D, Pronk T, Goodwin T, Janik M, Tokonami S. An update on thoron exposure in Canada with simultaneous (2)(2)(2)Rn and (2)(2)(0)Rn measurements in Fredericton and Halifax. *Radiat Prot Dosimetry* 2011; **147**(4): 541-7.
9. Ghiassi-Nejad M, Mortazavi S, Cameron J, Niroomand-Rad A, Karam P. Very high background radiation areas of Ramsar, Iran: preliminary biological studies. *Health Physics* 2002; **82**(1): 87.
10. Mortazavi SMJ, Ghiassi-Nejad M, Rezaiean M. Cancer risk due to exposure to high levels of natural radon in the inhabitants of Ramsar, Iran. *International Congress Series* 2005; **1276**(0): 436-37.
11. Berge B, Buttersjorn C, Henley F. *The Ecology of Building Materials: Elsevier Ltd*; 2009.