Exploring Two Methods of CBV Estimation in Two Groups of Grade III Gliomas with Different Appearance on Post-Contrast T1 Images

Vejdani Afkham B.¹⁰, Masjoodi S.², Vosoughi R.¹, Mosayebian F.³, Yousef Pour M.^{4*0}

ABSTRACT

Background: Many studies have used Cerebral Blood Volume (CBV) for gliomas grading and there has been in good agreement between CBV and tumor grade. Almost all of those studies have emphasized the importance of leakage correction due to the underestimation/overestimation of CBV caused by T1/T2* leakage effect in enhanced cases of tumors, especially high grade ones.

Objectives: The aim of this study is to investigate two methods of CBV estimation in two groups of gliomas with the same grade and different appearance on post contrast T1 images (Enhanced vs. Non-enhanced ones).

Material and Methods: In this retrospective study, eight glioma patients with histopatologically confirmed grade III were equally divided into two groups (with enhancement (group 1) and without enhancement (group 2)), and retrospectively studied. Imaging was performed on a 3 tesla MR Scanner and included gradient-echo DSC, 3D T1-weighted dataset and FLAIR images. The conventional method of CBV measurement (Integration over the whole curve of CTC- method 1) and the GVF fitting (method 2) was done using Matlab.

Results: The observed mean rCBV in the tumor ROI was 2.85 and 2.12 for group 1 with method 1 and 2, respectively. Mean rCBV in the tumor ROI for group 2 was 1.24 and 1.11 with method 1 and 2, respectively.

Conclusion: In conclusion, this pilot study demonstrated that with combined use of pre-bolus and accounting for T2* effect, CBV could be considered as a criterion for the categorization of glioma tumors.

Citation: Vejdani Afkham B, Masjoodi S, Vosoughi R, Mosayebian F, Yousef Pour M. Exploring Two Methods of CBV Estimation in Two Groups of Grade III Gliomas with Different Appearance on Post-Contrast T1 Images. J Biomed Phys Eng. 2020;10(3):283-290. doi: 10.31661/jbpe.v0i0.1176.

Keywords

Neoplasm Grading; Magnetic Resonance Imaging; Cerebral Blood Volume

Introduction

wing to differences in process and type of treatment for low- and high-grade tumors, the type, and grade of the tumors must be determined before the correct treatment. For this purpose, the golden standard is the stereotactic biopsy. Owing to the invasive nature of biopsy, MRI imaging techniques have been being important. Since a high percentage of non-enhanced tumors have been malignant, conventional MRI imaging based on post-contrast T1 images cannot be a good

¹MSc. Department of Medical Physics and Biomedical Engineering, School of Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences (TUMS), Tehran. Iran ²PhD, Department of Medical Physics and Biomedical Engineering. School of Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences (TUMS), Tehran, Iran ³MSc, Department of radiology technology, School of Applied Sciences, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran ⁴PhD, School of Medicine, Aja university of Medical Science, Tehran. Iran

Original

*Corresponding author: M. Yousef Pour School of Medicine, Aja university of Medical Science, Tehran, Iran E-mail: m.yousefpour@ ajaums.ac.ir

Received: 6 May 2019 Accepted: 20 May 2019 Vejdani Afkham B., Masjoodi S., Vosoughi R. et al

criterion for tumor grading [1].

Advance MRI techniques like Dynamic Susceptibility Contrast (DSC) perfusion provide supplementary information regarding grading of glioma tumors [2,3]. This technique is based on signal drop during bolus passage of Contrast Agent (CA) through capillary bed [4]. Cerebral Blood Volume (CBV) is the most widely used parameter, which is obtained from DSC.

Many studies have used CBV for gliomas grading and there has been in good agreement between CBV and tumor grade [5-8]. Almost all of these studies have emphasized the importance of leakage correction due to the underestimation/overestimation of CBV caused by T1/T2* leakage effect in enhanced cases of tumors, especially high grade ones [9].

The effect of leakage-correction in higher grades is particular importance due to higher permeability in these types of tumors [6]. The leakage of CA has two effects on the concentration-time curve (CTC) and eventually the CBV. These effects include T1 and T2* leading to the negative and positive tail of the CTC, respectively.

The conventional method for CBV estimation is the integration over the whole CTC [10]. Thus, when the conventional method of CBV was used, the effect of T1 underestimates the CBV and the effect of T2* would overestimate it [11,12]. The T1 leakage effect can be reduced by contrast agent injection as a pre-bolus prior to perfusion imaging, but the effect of T2* remains due to the accumulation of contrast media in the extravascular space and can lead to a higher estimate of CBV [13]. The previous study suggested that gamma fitting can be used to eliminate T2*-base leakage effect from the tail of CTC [14]. The conventional method of measuring CBV (Integration over the whole curve of CTC-hereafter will be called method 1) and the GVF fitting (herein called method 2).

The aim of this study is to investigate these two methods of CBV estimation in two groups

of gliomas with the same grade and different appearance on post contrast T1 images (Enhanced vs. Non-enhanced ones).

Material and Methods

Participants

In this retrospective study, eight glioma patients with histopathologically confirmed grade III, were selected out of 37 subjects with a brain tumor and retrospectively studied. The difference in signal between T1 images before and after contrast agent injection was considered as the criterion to categorize patients into two groups. Accordingly, four patients showed enhancement in post-contrast T1 images and categorized as group1 and the rest of them who did not have any enhancement classified into group2.

Imaging protocols

All images were acquired on a 3 Tesla clinical MRI scanner (Discovery MR750, GE Healthcare). GE-DSC examination was performed with 60 repetitions and TE for GE: 25 ms, TR: 1500 ms, FOV: 240 mm, matrix size: 128*128, slice thickness: 5 mm. Structural images include 3D T1-weighted dataset with TR: 8.4 ms, TE: 3.2 ms, FA: 12°, TI: 450 ms, FOV: 256 mm, slice thickness: 1 mm.

In addition, FLAIR images were obtained with the following parameters: TR: 7000 ms, TE: 140 ms, FA: 160°, TI: 2200 ms, FOV: 220 mm, matrix size: 320*224, and slice thickness: 5 mm.

Administration of Contrast Agent

Due to high molarity and decent concentration after intravenous injection, new generation of CA (Gadobutrol; Gadovist®; Bayer Schering Pharma AG, Berlin, Germany) was used [15]. CA was administered automatically with a 5 ml_{sec} rate followed by a 20 ml saline

flush in the same rate using an MR-compatible injector (Ulrich medical, tennesseeTM).

Data analysis

Data Preprocessing and analysis were performed using FSL software (University of Oxford) and MatLab (The Math Works, Inc., Natick, MA). Correction of GE images motion was made with respect to their first time point using MCFLIRT FSL tool. Skull stripping was implemented using BET FSL tool and brain-extracted images were used for further analyses.

 $\Delta R2^*$ maps were calculated based on the motion-corrected time series of GE images using the following equation:

$$\Delta R2^{*}_{(t)} = \frac{\bar{A}R2^{*}_{(t)} = \frac{-1}{TE} \ln \frac{S(t)}{S(0)}}{(1)}$$

Where TE is the echo time, S(0) is the base-

line (pre-bolus) signal, and S(t) is the recorded signal at the time.

Baseline signal was determined as the mean of signals from the 5th to the 15th dynamic volumes. First, four time points were discarded due to the unsaturated signal.

Gamma variate function (GVF) fitted to CTC to eliminate T2* leakage effect from the tissue CTC (Figure 1). CBV was calculated as a fraction of GVF integral into the integral of the Arterial Input Function (AIF), and normalized to the average normal contra-lateral WM (equation 2). AIFs were selected manually in the M3 segment at the top of the ventricle.

$$CBV = k \int C(t)dt / \int C(a)dt$$
 (2)



(



Where C (t) is tissue CTC, C (a) is AIF and k is the proportionality constant accounting for brain tissue density and hematocrit difference between the selected artery and microvasculatures, which was considered 0.733.

Regions of interest selection

The Flair and post contrast T1 images were

co-registered to the baseline perfusion images using Flirt tool and used for tumor ROI delineation. For each patient, the tumor masks were drawn manually based on contrast enhanced rim in post-injection T1-weighted images and in the area of high signal intensity on FLAIR images in the cases of non-enhancing tumors (Figure 2).



Figure 2: The upper row is related to images of a patient with anaplastic astrocytoma grade III WHO and the lower row is related to images of a patient with anaplastic oligoastrocytomas grade III WHO. a), b), c), d), e) and f) are related to Contrast enhanced T1 weighted, Fat saturated fluid attenuation inversion recovery and relative Cerebral blood volume in each row.

Results

Our results are shown in Table 1. The mean ages of first-group and second-group of patients were 40 years (range from 31 to 51 years) and 45 years (range from 37 to 53 years), respectively. Representative images from two patients within group 1 and 2 are shown in Figure 2.

All anaplastic gliomas had high rCBV ratios compared with those of normal contralateral white matter (rCBV > 1.0) (Figure 3). The observed mean rCBV in the tumor ROI in group 1 was 2.85 and 2.12 for method 1 and 2, respectively. Mean rCBV in the tumor ROI for group 2 was 1.24 and 1.11 with method 1 and 2, respectively. **Table 1:** Patient's characteristics and corresponding rCBV results obtained using method 1 and 2. Data presented as mean ±SD.

Defined	Group	Pathologic diagnosis	rCBV		
Patient/ age/ sex			Method 1	Method 2	
1/36/F	1	AA	2.76±0.91	2.28±0.79	
2/42/M	1	AOA	2.9±1.02	1.73±0.8	
3/51/M	1	AA	3.1±0.49	2.43±0.35	
4/31/M	1	AA	2.62±0.96	2.04±0.93	
5/46/F	2	AO	0.95±0.49	0.79±0.35	
6/53/M	2	AOA	1.23±0.53	1.1±0.41	
7/44/M	2	AO	1.45±0.38	1.35±0.3	
8/37/F	2	AOA	1.35±0.31	1.2±0.27	

AA: Anaplastic Astrocytomas, AO: Anaplastic Oligodendroglioma, AOA: Anaplastic Oligo-Astrocytomas

Evaluation of Two Methods of CBV Estimation in glioma cases



Figure 3: Relative CBV values in all cases of group 1 (a) and 2 (b) before and after leakage correction.

Discussion

In this study, the remaining T2* effect during bolus passage was ignored and could be considered in future investigations.

This results confirm that the T2* leakage effect leads to overestimation of rCBV (Figure 3). This overestimation depends on the amount of the area, which has been in CTC tail (yellow in Figure 2) used in CBV estimation.

Moreover, no T1 leakage effect was observed in each subject of group 1 due to the administration of the complete dose (0.1 mmol/kg) of CA as a pre-bolus. On the other hand, Hu et al. showed that the dose of 0.1 mmol/kg of CA as a pre-bolus combined with the correction of T2* effect could increase the differentiation between tumors and radiotherapy necrosis in patients with glioma [16].

It could be noted that this amount of contrast injection may not be enough to significantly reduce the T1 leakage effect when examining high-permeability tumors such as GBM or using a field strength lower than 3 Tesla.

Our results for non-enhanced gliomas are in good agreement with the study of Morita et al. [5] and Sahin et al. [17] who have investigated the grading of non-enhanced gliomas (Table 2).

Maia et al. [18] reported relatively a high values for rCBV of non-enhanced gliomas with grade III; in addition, it may be due to the use of SE-DSC for CBV estimation, which has the sensitivity to microvascular vessels size [18]. Whereas study of Donahue et al. [19] indicated that spin-echo Perfusion Weighted Imaging (PWI) is less accurate in predicting glioma grade than gradient echo PWI.

Moreover, Fan et al. [20] reported higher values for rCBV of non-enhanced gliomas with grade III that may originate from the method of tumor delineation. They used maximum regions of perfusion for measuring rCBV of the tumor, but we used ROI-base delineation for this purpose (using co-registered T1 and Flair images).

Our results for enhanced cases of gliomas with grade III were in line with the previous studies of Falk et al. [21] and Boxerman et al. [6], who have evaluated the grading of enhanced gliomas using PWI. However Falk et al. used Boxerman's proposed method for leakage correction; they did not observe any significant difference between grade II and III gliomas unlike Boxerman et al.

The number of subjects was one of the limi-

Study	Contrast en- hancement	Nnumber of patient with grade III gliomas	rCBV grade III	Correlation of rCBV with pathological grade
Maia et.al 2005	None	7	3.2	Yes
Morita et.al 2010	None	11	1.74	Yes
Sahin et.al 2013	None	6	1.39	No
Fan et.al 2006	None	8	3.27	Yes
Boxerman et.al 2006	Yes	9	2.8	Yes
Falk et.al 2014	Yes	7	2.42	No

Table 2: Patient demographics and corresponding imaging findings reported in different studies.

tations on this study due to the rarity of the non-enhanced gliomas with grade III. Besides, there may be a sampling error because the grading of all patients in this study was done using biopsy.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this pilot study demonstrated that with combined use of pre-bolus and accounting for T2* effect, CBV could be considered as a criterion for the categorization of glioma tumors.

Acknowledgment

The authors must thank the research affair of Aja University of Medical Sciences and all participants in this study.

Conflict of Interest

None

References

- Scott JN, Brasher PM, Sevick RJ, Rewcastle NB, Forsyth PA. How often are nonenhancing supratentorial gliomas malignant? A population study. *Neurology*. 2002;**59**:947-9. doi: 10.1212/wnl.59.6.947. PubMed PMID: 12297589.
- 2. Lee SJ, Kim JH, Kim YM, Lee GK, Lee EJ,

Park IS, et al. Perfusion MR imaging in gliomas: comparison with histologic tumor grade. *Korean J Radiol.* 2001;**2**:1-7. doi: 10.3348/ kjr.2001.2.1.1. PubMed PMID: 11752962. PubMed PMCID: PMC2718089.

- Shin JH, Lee HK, Kwun BD, Kim JS, Kang W, Choi CG, et al. Using relative cerebral blood flow and volume to evaluate the histopathologic grade of cerebral gliomas: preliminary results. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2002;**179**:783-9. doi: 10.2214/ajr.179.3.1790783. PubMed PMID: 12185064.
- Kiselev VG. Effect of magnetic field gradients induced by microvasculature on NMR measurements of molecular self-diffusion in biological tissues. *J Magn Reson.* 2004;**170**:228-35. doi: 10.1016/j.jmr.2004.07.004. PubMed PMID: 15388085.
- Morita N, Wang S, Chawla S, Poptani H, Melhem ER. Dynamic susceptibility contrast perfusion weighted imaging in grading of nonenhancing astrocytomas. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2010;**32**:803-8. doi: 10.1002/ jmri.22324. PubMed PMID: 20882610.
- Boxerman JL, Schmainda KM, Weisskoff RM. Relative cerebral blood volume maps corrected for contrast agent extravasation significantly correlate with glioma tumor grade, whereas uncorrected maps do not. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* 2006;27:859-67. PubMed PMID: 16611779.

- Kang HY, Xiao HL, Chen JH, Tan Y, Chen X, Xie T, et al. Comparison of the Effect of Vessel Size Imaging and Cerebral Blood Volume Derived from Perfusion MR Imaging on Glioma Grading. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* 2016;**37**:51-7. doi: 10.3174/ajnr.A4477. PubMed PMID: 26381565.
- Paulson ES, Schmainda KM. Comparison of dynamic susceptibility-weighted contrast-enhanced MR methods: recommendations for measuring relative cerebral blood volume in brain tumors. *Radiology*. 2008;**249**:601-13. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2492071659. PubMed PMID: 18780827. PubMed PMCID: PMC2657863.
- Perkio J, Aronen HJ, Kangasmaki A, Liu Y, Karonen J, Savolainen S, et al. Evaluation of four postprocessing methods for determination of cerebral blood volume and mean transit time by dynamic susceptibility contrast imaging. *Magn Reson Med.* 2002;47:973-81. doi: 10.1002/mrm.10126. PubMed PMID: 11979577.
- Bjornerud A, Emblem KE, editors. Estimation of contrast agent extravasation from the tissue residue function: application to tumor perfusion imaging. Proceedings of the 17th Annual Meeting of International Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine; Honolulu: ISMRM; 2009.
- Bjornerud A, Sorensen AG, Mouridsen K, Emblem KE. T1- and T2*-dominant extravasation correction in DSC-MRI: part I--theoretical considerations and implications for assessment of tumor hemodynamic properties. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab.* 2011;**31**:2041-53. doi: 10.1038/jcbfm.2011.52. PubMed PMID: 21505483. PubMed PMCID: PMC3208149.
- Boxerman JL, Paulson ES, Prah MA, Schmainda KM. The effect of pulse sequence parameters and contrast agent dose on percentage signal recovery in DSC-MRI: implications for clinical applications. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* 2013;**34**:1364-9. doi: 10.3174/ajnr.A3477. PubMed PMID: 23413249. PubMed PMCID: PMC4316677.
- 13. Law M, Yang S, Babb JS, Knopp EA, Golfinos JG, Zagzag D, et al. Comparison of cerebral blood volume and vascular permeability from dynamic susceptibility contrast-enhanced perfusion MR imaging with glioma grade. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* 2004;**25**:746-55. PubMed PMID: 15140713.

- Essig M, Shiroishi MS, Nguyen TB, Saake M, Provenzale JM, Enterline D, et al. Perfusion MRI: the five most frequently asked technical questions. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2013;**200**:24-34. doi: 10.2214/AJR.12.9543. PubMed PMID: 23255738. PubMed PMCID: PMC3593114.
- 15. Hu LS, Baxter LC, Pinnaduwage DS, Paine TL, Karis JP, Feuerstein BG, et al. Optimized preload leakage-correction methods to improve the diagnostic accuracy of dynamic susceptibility-weighted contrast-enhanced perfusion MR imaging in posttreatment gliomas. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* 2010;**31**:40-8. doi: 10.3174/ajnr. A1787. PubMed PMID: 19749223. PubMed PMCID: PMC4323177.
- 16. Sahin N, Melhem ER, Wang S, Krejza J, Poptani H, Chawla S, et al. Advanced MR imaging techniques in the evaluation of nonenhancing gliomas: perfusion-weighted imaging compared with proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy and tumor grade. *Neuroradiol J.* 2013;26:531-41. doi: 10.1177/197140091302600506. PubMed PMID: 24199813. PubMed PMCID: PMC4202829.
- 17. Maia Jr AC, Malheiros SM, Da Rocha AJ, Da Silva CJ, Gabbai AA, Ferraz FA, et al. MR cerebral blood volume maps correlated with vascular endothelial growth factor expression and tumor grade in nonenhancing gliomas. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* 2005;**26**:777-83. PubMed PMID: 15814920.
- Vejdani Afkham B, Masjoodi S, Oghabian MA, Ghodsi SR, Nazem Zadeh MR, Esmati E, Farzin M, Gilasi M, Hashemi H. Evaluation of contrast agent dose and diffusion coefficient measurement on vessel size index estimation. *MAGMA*. 2019;**32**(5):529-537. doi: 10.1007/s10334-019-00760-4. PubMed PMID: 31270714.
- 19. Donahue KM, Krouwer HG, Rand SD, Pathak AP, Marszalkowski CS, Censky SC, et al. Utility of simultaneously acquired gradient-echo and spin-echo cerebral blood volume and morphology maps in brain tumor patients. *Magn Reson Med.* 2000;**43**:845-53. doi: 10.1002/1522-2594(200006)43:6<845::aidmrm10>3.3.co;2-a. PubMed PMID: 10861879.
- 20.Fan G, Deng Q, Wu Z, Guo Q. Usefulness of diffusion/perfusion-weighted MRI in patients with non-enhancing supratentorial brain gliomas: a valuable tool to predict tumour grading? *The British journal of radiology*. 2006;**79**:652-8. doi: 10.1259/bjr/25349497.

Vejdani Afkham B., Masjoodi S., Vosoughi R. et al

21.Falk A, Fahlström M, Rostrup E, Berntsson S, Zetterling M, Morell A, et al. Discrimination between glioma grades II and III in suspected low-grade gliomas using dynamic contrastenhanced and dynamic susceptibility contrast perfusion MR imaging: a histogram analysis approach. *Neuroradiology*. 2014;**56**:1031-8. doi: 10.1007/s00234-014-1426-z.